

Please note that this statement tracks all Notices of Motion submitted by members, until the point of disposal. The motion will remain on the statement until the Committee has agreed to remove it.

ENTERPRISE, PLANNING AND INFRASTRUCTURE

MOTIONS LIST

31 May 2012

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
1.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Yuill</u></p> <p>“That Aberdeen City Council agrees to instruct officers to prepare a report on both the feasibility of developing, in partnership with Aberdeenshire Council and Perth and Kinross Council, a long distance footpath – The Royal Deeside and Perthshire Way – from Fittie to Perth via Deeside and ways in which this project might be funded.”</p>	27.04.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u></p> <p>Amongst, other things, to request officers to participate in the Royal Deeside, Angus and Perthshire Way Steering Group which was already discussing the establishment of the “Pictish Way”, and that officers report back to the next meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee regarding the terms of the motion, and in particular detailing the outstanding aspects required to establish and signpost the proposed “Pictish Way”, as well as the financial cost of achieving this.</p>	<p>At its meeting on 31 January 2012, the Committee resolved to:-</p> <p>(i) instruct officers to monitor developments on the Pictish Way by partners and attend Steering Group meetings (so far held in Forfar) where resources allowed; and</p> <p>(ii) instruct officers to report back to the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee after the summer recess on the findings of a report that Angus Council intended to commission into the development of the route.</p>	Head of Planning and Sustainable Development	31.05.12	No

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
2.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Yuill</u></p> <p>“That, given the ongoing difficulties caused by HGVs using Broomhill Road as a through route, Council instructs officers to report to the appropriate committee on the introduction of an ‘except for access’ weight or width restriction on some or all of Broomhill Road between Holburn Street and South Anderson Drive.”</p>	17.08.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u></p> <p>To request officers to report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee.</p>	<p>Additional surveys are required to be carried out and it is intended to submit a report to the Committee at its meeting on 31 January.</p> <p>At its meeting on 31 January 2012, the Committee resolved to request officers to explore other options available, not excluding the introduction of an “except for access” width and/or weight restriction, to address the concerns of residents on Broomhill Road, and to report back to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee on these options, as well as the implications of the introduction of each.</p> <p>A report is on the agenda.</p>	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.5.12	Yes – to be decided on consideration of the report.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
3.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Yuill</u></p> <p>“That, given the ongoing difficulties caused by lorries using Morningside Road, Cranford Road and Duthie Terrace as through routes so as to avoid the junction of Great Western Road and South Anderson Drive, Council instructs officers to report to the appropriate committee on the introduction of an ‘except for access’ weight restriction on these roads plus that part of Hammerfield Avenue not already covered by such a restriction.”</p>	17.08.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u></p> <p>To request officers to report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee.</p>	<p>Additional surveys are required to be carried out and it is intended to submit a report to the Committee at its meeting on 31 January.</p> <p>At its meeting on 31 January 2012, the Committee resolved to defer a decision on the report and request officers to contact BEAR Scotland regarding the possible reconfiguration of the junction from Great Western Road to Anderson Drive, and to report back to a future meeting of the Committee in this regard.</p> <p>A report is on the agenda.</p>	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.1.12	Yes – to be decided on consideration of the report.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
4.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Yuill</u></p> <p>“That, given the significant inconvenience and difficulties caused to householders and others by bollards opposite the entrance from Deeside Drive to the rear lane lying between the rear of properties on Deeside Drive and Deeside Crescent, Council instructs officers to report to the appropriate committee on the removal of these bollards.”</p>	17.08.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u></p> <p>To request officers to report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee.</p>	<p>Officers are required to liaise with the Public Utility companies and carry out some design work in relation to the Notice of Motion. It is intended that this work will be submitted to the Committee at its meeting on 31 January.</p> <p>At its meeting on 31 January 2012, the Committee resolved to defer consideration of the report and to request officers to explore alternative solutions to the difficulties experienced by the bollards opposite the entrance to Deeside Lane and report back to a future meeting of the Committee in this regard.</p> <p>A report is on the agenda.</p>	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.5.12	Yes – to be decided on consideration of the report.
5.	<p><u>Motion by former Councillor Farquharson</u></p> <p>“Council is asked to set up a working party to explore the possibility of changing the whole structure of traffic speed</p>	6.10.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 15.11.11</u></p> <p>To request officers to submit a report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and</p>	<p>At its meeting on 31 January 2012, the Committee resolved to request officers to report back a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee with further information on:-</p>	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.5.12	No

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
	<p>controls within the city of Aberdeen. Such a study should start from the principle of having all housing sub divisions designated as 20mph traffic zones within arterial or main roads being designated as 30 or 40mph maximum speed zones.</p> <p>The objective is to create standardisation in the interests of road safety and to alleviate confusion in the minds of drivers. This has become necessary due to the vast array of speed bumps, mandatory 20mph roads and advisory 20mph roads. As a result of these changes it is intended to educate the public in terms of safe driving. The working group should comprise Council officers and token Councillor representation, reporting to Council or Committee as necessary.”</p>		<p>Infrastructure Committee, and to agree that a Working Group should not be established for this purpose.</p>	<p>(a) the blanket city wide 20mph for residential uses implemented by Portsmouth Council; (b) traffic controls implemented by other comparable cities; and (c) the prosecution rates for motorists exceeding the existing 20mph speed limit in the city.</p> <p>A report will be submitted following the summer recess.</p>			

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
6.	<p><u>Motion by former Councillor Leslie</u></p> <p>“That officers are instructed to prepare a report on proper access for disabled people using mobility scooters at Marischal College, and such a report be remitted to the appropriate Committee.”</p>	14.12.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 31.01.12</u></p> <p>The Committee resolved to request officers to submit a report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee and to request that the Disability Advisory Group be consulted on this matter.</p>		Programme Director for Marischal	31.05.12	
7.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Graham</u></p> <p>“That the relevant department consults with the residents of Bonnyview Drive to ascertain the requirement to install traffic calming measures and report back to Committee.”</p>	14.12.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 31.01.12</u></p> <p>The Committee resolved to request officers to undertake traffic surveys on Bonnyview Drive and to report back to the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee with their findings, and whether there was a requirement for traffic calming measures at this location.</p>	A report is on the agenda.	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.5.12	Yes – to be decided on consideration of the report.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
8.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Graham</u></p> <p>“That officers provide a report to advise Aberdeen City Council on what current measures are in place and what further measures need to be undertaken to promote/ensure competition in the bus routes of Aberdeen, thus ensuring a more competitive price for buses for the citizens of Aberdeen that are comparable with other cities in Scotland.”</p>		<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 31.01.12</u></p> <p>The Committee resolved to request the Convener to write to both the Westminster and Scottish Governments promoting a change in legislation regarding bus routes to encourage competition and deliver fair prices.</p>		Planning and Sustainable Development		Yes
9.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Jennifer Stewart</u></p> <p>“That this Council agrees to run a robust recruitment campaign to increase the number of school crossing patrollers, the costs to be met through budget underspend.”</p>		<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 31.01.12</u></p> <p>The Committee resolved to approve the terms of the motion and to request the Director to implement this accordingly.</p>		Head of Asset Management and Operations		Yes